☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number	SB-375		
Description The exchange of electronic records contained Statewide Automated Child Welfare Informatic Department of Children and Families and prov	n System between the director of sta			
Fiscal Effect		1		
Appropriations Re Decrease Existing De Appropriations Re Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Inc Permissive Mandatory Pe 2. Decrease Costs 4. De	to absorb to absorb venues Decrease	Costs ocal int Units Affected is Village Cities ies Others il WTCS		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700	Tom Herman (608) 266-0239	11/3/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 11/3/2009

LRB Number	09-2743/4	Introduction Number	SB-375	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
The exchange	of electronic records	s contained in the Cons	olidated Co	ourt Automation Prog	gram and in the	
Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System between the director of state courts and the						
Department of	Department of Children and Families and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Prosecutors believe that enactment of this bill will have little if any fiscal impact on DA offices.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Enactment of this bill will have little if any long-term fiscal impact on DA offices.

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected S	Supplemental		
LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number SB	-375		
Description The exchange of electronic records contained in Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information Department of Children and Families and provid	System between the director of state cour			
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Decrease Costs Reversible Decrease Costs Reversibl	ease Revenue Counties School	agency's budget		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DCF/ Nick Bubb (608) 266-5422	Robert Nikolay (608) 261-4349	11/6/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DCF 11/6/2009

LRB Number	09-2743/4	Introduction Number	SB-375	Estimate Type	Original	
		contained in the Cons				
	Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System between the director of state courts and the Department of Children and Families and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill permits the Director of State courts and the Department of Children and Families to enter into an agreement to transfer electronic information between the Consolidated Court Automation Program and the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS). Implementation of this information sharing agreement can be accomplished using base funding resources.

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number	SB-375
Description The exchange of electronic records contained in Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information Department of Children and Families and provide	System between the director of sta	
Appropriations Rev	rease Existing to absorb	Costs - May be possible within agency's budget Yes
Permissive Mandatory Perr 2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec	5.Types of L Governme missive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory Distric	ent Units Affected S
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS) Appropriations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DOC/ Dawn Woeshnick (608) 240-5417	Robert Margolies (608) 240-5056	11/10/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 11/10/2009

LRB Number	09-2743/4	Introduction Number	SB-375	Estimate Type	Original
Description					
The exchange	of electronic records	contained in the Cons	olidated Co	ourt Automation Prog	gram and in the
Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System between the director of state courts and the					
Department of	Children and Familie	es and providing a pena	alty		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill allows the director of state courts and the Department of Children and Families to enter into an agreement to allow for the transfer of electronic records contained in CCAP and SACWIS between agencies.

This bill would have no fiscal impact on the Department of Corrections.

Original Dpdated	d Corrected S	upplemental		
LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number SB-	375		
Description The exchange of electronic records contain Statewide Automated Child Welfare Informated Child Welfare Informated Child Welfare Informated Pamilies and page 2015.	ned in the Consolidated Court Automation Progra ation System between the director of state court providing a penalty	am and in the s and the		
Fiscal Effect				
Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs 4. Permissive Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Districts	gency's budget No Affected fillage Others VTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DOJ/ Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	11/6/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 11/6/2009

LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number SB-375	Estimate Type Original			
Description					
The exchange of electronic records contained in the Consolidated Court Automation Program and in the					
Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System between the director of state courts and the					
Department of Children and Far	nilies and providing a penalty				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Department of Justice does not anticipate a fiscal estimate due to the enactment of 2009 Senate Bill 375.

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	09-2743/4		Intro	duction N	lumber	SB-375	
Statew	change of ele ride Automate	ed Child Welfar	s contained in t e Information S es and providin	ystem betw	een the dire			
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. ncrease Permiss 2. Decrease	Existing ions Existing cions w Appropriatio vernment Costs costs Costs	Reven Decrea Reven 3. Increas ory Permis 4. Decrea	ase Existing ues se Revenue ssive Ma	5.T Gendatory	ncrease Co o absorb wi Yes Decrease C ypes of Loc covernment Towns Counties School Districts	ithin agency s osts cal Units Affec	r's budget No No ted Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
⊠ GF	PR 🔲 FED	PRO []PRS []S	EG 🔲 S	EGS			
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву	A	uthorized	Signature			Date
SPD/ N	Mike Tobin (6	08) 266-8259	к	rista Ginge	r (608) 264-8	8572		11/5/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 11/5/2009

LRB Number 09-2743/4	Introduction Number SB-375	Estimate Type Original			
Description					
The exchange of electronic records	contained in the Consolidated Co	ourt Automation Program and in the			
Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System between the director of state courts and the					
Department of Children and Familie	es and providing a penalty				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD also provides representation to children in juvenile-court proceedings, including delinquency proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would allow the exchange of confidential information between the director of state courts and the Department of Children and Families. Some of the information that could be made available to judges in juvenile-court proceedings will relate to children receiving legal representation from the SPD.

The bill could increase the SPD workload indirectly. The bill does not appear to contain any safeguard to prevent a judge from receiving information related to a pending case. Also, the bill does not appear to include a requirement that information disclosed, if pertinent to a pending case, must be disclosed to the parties to the case. Under current law, information contained in court records is generally limited to information that the judge may properly consider in adjudicating the case. Further, the information is available to all parties to the litigation, so that they have the opportunity to object to the accuracy of information or to present additional information to explain or rebut information that is harmful to their client or their legal claim.

Because of the considerations discussed above, the SPD could incur additional costs related to attorneys either seeking to learn whether the court has received confidential information or seeking to ensure that the court does not rely upon inadmissible or inaccurate information. The SPD does not have data to estimate any such costs. It is possible that appropriate procedural safeguards could minimize the impact of the bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. This process applies to appointments of counsel for parents in proceedings to terminate their parental rights. There are other proceedings in juvenile court in which the county appoints on a discretionary basis, because of a statutory prohibition against SPD appointments for parents in these proceedings. Thus, if additional attorney time is required to resolve issues related to the court's receipt of information pursuant to this bill, the counties could incur increased costs.